

TARPTAUTINĖ MOKSLINĖ-PRAKTINĖ  
KONFERENCIJA  
EFEKTYVUS TEISINGUMAS:  
IŠŠŪKIAI IR PRIORITETAJ  
(ADMINISTRACINIAMS)  
TEISMAMS

minint Lietuvos Respublikos teisėjų asociacijos  
Administracinių teismų skyriaus 5 metų įsteigimą,  
Lietuvos teisės instituto 25 metų įsteigimą ir Vilniaus  
universiteto Teisės fakulteto 375 metų įkūrimą

AN INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC – PRACTICAL  
CONFERENCE  
EFFECTIVE JUSTICE:  
CHALLENGES AND PRIORITIES  
FOR (ADMINISTRATIVE)  
COURTS

in commemoration of the establishment  
of the Division of Administrative Courts of the  
Lithuanian Association of Judges (5 years),  
Law Institute of Lithuania (25 years) and the  
Law Faculty of Vilnius University (375 years)

Outline of the intervention of

Raffaele Sabato

Italijos Aukščiausiojo Kasacinio Teismo teisėjas,  
Konsultacinės Europos teisėjų tarybos narys.

Judge of the Italian Supreme Court of Cassation,  
member of the Consultative Council of European Judges (CCEJ).

**„EUROPOS STANDARTAI: VEIKSMINGAS  
TEISINGUMAS VIS-A'-VIS SPRENDIMŲ KOKYBĖ“**

**“EUROPEAN STANDARDS IN THE AREA OF EFFICIENCY OF  
JUSTICE VIS-A'-VIS OF QUALITY OF DECISIONS”**

1. Efficiency of justice vs. quality of justice and decisions: a trade-off or complementary concepts.
2. The works of the CoE in the area of efficiency and quality:
  - a. [Recommendation \(2010\)12](#) on judges: independence, efficiency and responsibilities
  - b. [Recommendation \(86\) 12](#) concerning measures to prevent and reduce the excessive workload in the courts
  - c. The establishment of the Consultative Council of European Judges (CCJE) [the AEAJ is an active participant as an observer]
  - d. The establishment of the CEPEJ
3. The main texts relevant to the topic:
  - a. Opinion N° 6 (2004) of the CCJE on fair trial within a reasonable time (available in [Lithuanian](#) [here](#) : [http://wcd.coe.int/ViewDoc.jsp?Ref=CCJE\(2003\)OP4&Sector=secDGHL&Language=lanLithuanian&Ver=original&BackColorInternet=FEF2E0&BackColorIntranet=FEF2E0&BackColorLogged=c3c3c3](http://wcd.coe.int/ViewDoc.jsp?Ref=CCJE(2003)OP4&Sector=secDGHL&Language=lanLithuanian&Ver=original&BackColorInternet=FEF2E0&BackColorIntranet=FEF2E0&BackColorLogged=c3c3c3) )
  - b. Opinion n°11 (2008) of the CCJE on the quality of judicial decisions (available in [Lithuanian](#) [here](#): [https://wcd.coe.int/ViewDoc.jsp?Ref=CCJE\(2008\)OP11&Language=lanLithuanian&Ver=original&BackColorInternet=DBDCF2&BackColorIntranet=FDC864&BackColorLogged=FDC864](https://wcd.coe.int/ViewDoc.jsp?Ref=CCJE(2008)OP11&Language=lanLithuanian&Ver=original&BackColorInternet=DBDCF2&BackColorIntranet=FDC864&BackColorLogged=FDC864) )
  - c. CEPEJ's activities:
    - i. Evaluation of Judicial Systems
    - ii. Judicial time management
    - iii. Quality of justice
    - iv. In particular, the Report - Edition 2016 (2014 data) – Comments on the Evaluation scheme and the Explanatory note; Key judicial indicators; the relevance for administrative justice; ways to improve
4. Efficiency and quality vis-à-vis training: training to efficiency and quality; an efficient and qualitative training
5. Deontological aspects of efficiency and quality; in particular, are disciplinary measures a way to increase efficiency and quality?

6. Professional evaluation of judges and efficiency/quality
7. The administrative judge vs. the civil judge: most international standards are most suited on the latter; consequences; the experience of countries (e.g. Italy) in which civil judges deal with some administrative cases and administrative judges deal with some civil cases.
8. Considerations and conclusions

(Powerpoint slides will be provided covering the above and other concepts)